California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region

April 15, 2005

STAFF REPORT

ITEM:

15

SUBJECT: Resolution No R8-2005-0067 Adopting the April 2005Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board Emergency, Abandoned and Recalcitrant Site Priority List

Discussion:

There are a number of abandoned or recalcitrant underground storage tank sites within the Region. These sites are a threat to human health and/or the environment. Chapter 6.75 of the California Health and Safety Code authorizes underground storage tank (UST) cleanup funds to be provided to Regional Water Quality Control Boards and local UST agencies for direct cleanup of petroleum-contaminated UST sites requiring emergency or prompt corrective action to protect human health or the environment. The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) has directed the Regional Water Quality Control Boards to submit a Priority List of UST sites which threaten human health or the environment and which have been ordered by the Regional Water Board or the local UST agency to initiate corrective actions, but have not begun the requested corrective actions. A resolution adopting the Regional Water Quality Control Board's Priority List to the Emergency, Abandoned and Recalcitrant Fund must be submitted annually to the SWRCB. The SWRCB is able to enter into contracts to provide funds to local agencies and Regional Water Quality Control Boards to perform corrective actions at such abandoned or recalcitrant sites. The SWRCB will pursue reimbursement of those funds from the past and current owners of the subject property, including placing a lien against the subject property.

The April 2005 Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board Emergency Abandoned and Recalcitrant Site Priority List is attached (Attachment "A"). The list includes 13 sites and the total requested funding for the cleanup of these sites is \$1.85 million (this amount represents the expected expenditures for FY 2005-2006).

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt Resolution No. R8-2005-0067 as presented.

California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region

RESOLUTION NO. R8-2005-0067

Adopting the April 2005 Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board Emergency, Abandoned and Recalcitrant Site Priority List

Whereas, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), Santa Ana Region (hereinafter Board), finds that:

- 1. Chapter 6.75 of the California Health and Safety Code authorizes underground storage tank (UST) cleanup funds to be provided to RWQCBs and local UST agencies for direct cleanup of petroleum-contaminated UST sites requiring emergency or prompt corrective action to protect human health or the environment; and
- 2. The State Water Resources Control Board has directed the RWQCBs to submit a Priority List of UST sites which threaten human health or the environment and which have been ordered by the RWQCB or the local UST agency to initiate corrective actions, but have not begun the requested corrective actions; and
- 3. A resolution adopting the RWQCB's Priority List for the Emergency, Abandoned and Recalcitrant Fund must be submitted annually to the State Water Resources Control Board.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region,

Adopts the attached April 2005 Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board Emergency, Abandoned and Recalcitrant Site Priority List (Attachment "A") and forwards it to the State Water Resources Control Board.

I, Gerard J. Thibeault, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region, on April 15, 2005.

Gerard J. Thibeault Executive Officer

ATTACHMENT "A" (RESOLUTION NO. R8-2004-0050)

		S; E	Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board Emergency, Abandoned, and Recalcitrant Site Priority List April 2004		
Site	Lead Agency Contact	Pollution	Enforcement Compliance and Status	Proposed Abatement	Funding Requested
Former Circle K	Carl Bernhardt	Gasoline		The groundwater	\$250,000
Pedley	SAK W QCD	soil and	removed in 1993. Current property owner is non-responsive to	been sampled for several	
Riverside County		groundwater,		years and the extent of	
		No analysis for	2002, the 2002-2003, the 2003-2004, and the 2004-2005 EAR	groundwater contamination	
		MTBE		was never defined.	
			currently contracting out to begin work at the site.	Additional monitoring is	
				needed to assess the extent	
				of groundwater	
				contamination and to	
				evaluate the appropriate	
				remedial measures.	

				BACs Development South Town at Main, Ltd 2140S. Main Street Santa Ana
				Carl Bernhardt SARWQCB
				Gasoline affected soil and groundwater
In February 2003, Anahurst Investors, the new owners of the subject site, submitted a workplan to conduct baseline groundwater monitoring and evaluate the remedial options for the site. One round of groundwater sampling was conducted. Anahurst did not implement any further corrective action because they were anticipating marketing the property with the anticipation that the new owners would assume site cleanup. In a February 25, 2005, letter, Anahurst Investors was notified that the site was being placed on the EAR list. They requested that they be granted an one year extension so that they could market and sell the property.	In October 1998, South Town at Main received pre-approval of corrective action costs from the UST Cleanup fund, but never implemented the RAP. Mr. Chakmakchi, former owner of the South Town At Main site, became not responsive to subsequent directives by Board staff to implement the approved corrective actions.	In August 1996, Board staff approved a revised RAP for the site. The RAP proposed to implement soil vapor extraction, free product recovery and injection of oxygen release compound (ORC) into the groundwater. In August 1997 the property was foreclosed and a revised RAP was submitted proposing only to conduct free product recovery, ORC injection, and to resume groundwater sampling.	In January 1994, South Town at Main filed for Chapter 11, Bankruptcy. Groundwater monitoring activities were terminated in March 1993.	In 1985, six 1,000-gallon USTs were removed from the site as part of redevelopement of the site from a rental yard to a condominium complex. The results of subsequent subsurface investigation indicated the underlying soil and groundwater were impacted from releases from the USTs. In 1987, a remedial action plan (RAP) was approved to pump and treat the affected groundwater. The RAP was never implemented. In 1992, an Interim Remedial action plan was submitted proposing to recover groundwater and free-phase floating petroleum hydrocarbons using groundwater extraction. The groundwater extraction system was installed, but Board staff never received any information confirming that groundwater remediation was initiated.
				Groundwater monitoring has not been conducted at the site since March 2003. Funding will implement groundwater sampling and enable development of a corrective action plan and the initiation of remedial activities at the site.
				\$200,000

in September 1997, the 1,000-gallon UST was removed from the site. The results of sampling the soil beneath the UST indicated total petroleum hydrocarbons (IPH), tohene, ethyl benzene, and xylene at a maximum concentration of 3,700 ppm, 3.0 ppm, 9.6 ppm, 550 ppm, respectively. In February 2001, the UST area was excavated to a maximum depth of 20 feet. The results of sampling the soil from the excavation indicated TPH-gasoline, TPH-diesel, acetone, MEK, trimethybenzene, and xylene at a maximum concentration of 480 ppm, 1,800 ppm, 1,800 ppm, 16 ppm, 14 ppm, 146 ppm, and 68 ppm, respectively. In February, 2002, RCDEH directed Mr. Canino to submit a work plan to further assess the extent of the affected soil. On September 19, 2002, the RCDEH accepted the work plan, but the work plan was never implemented. In April 2003, Mr. Canino met separately with RCDEH and Regional Board staff stating his position that he is not a responsible party to be held responsible for the further investigation and cleanup of the property secause he purchased the upor the property without knowledge of the existence of the UST, he never operated the UST and was not responsible for contaminating the property, and that he had been denied funding from the UST Cleanup Fund. On April 14, 2003, RCDEH transferred oversight of the property to this agency. In a March 20, 2004, letter to the State Water Resources Control Board, Mr. Canino reiterated his position that he was not a responsible party for the releases from the former fuel UST at the site.

				,	
	and remediation at the site is currently being reviewed.				
	gasoline constituents were detected in the groundwater. The need for further work	groundwater investigation at the site. The City of Riverside has applied for \$200,000 grant from the EPA for Cleanup of the site.			
	releases from the USTs. I ow concentrations of	The site was placed on the 2004-2005 EAR Account Fund lists.			
	indicated that the underlying	remediation under the supervision of the Regional Board.			
	wells. The preliminary results of the investigations	Hernandez does not follow through on this directive, the Agency has the legal authority to step in and perform the site assessment and			
	soil borings and groundwater monitoring	invoked its authority under the Polanco Redevelopment Act to compel Mr. Hernandez to complete the necessary site assessment. If			
	the site consisting of several	On March 18, 2004, the City of Riverside Redevelopment Agency			
	Riverside conducted	not be eligible to receive reimbursement from the UST cleanup fund.			
	In 2004–2005, the City of	conduct the site assessment and remediation required by Environmental Health. Further, it appears that Mr. Hernandez would			
	in the soil.	the new site owner, Mr. Hernandez, would not expend any funds to	,		
	the residual contamination	he did not receive proper notice of the tax auction and to pay his back taxes, thereby recovering title to the property. For this reason,			
	A short-term vapor test was	Attention to the environmental condition of the site was delayed by the fact that Mr. Sandhu had until July 8, 2004 to notify the County			
	boring was to be converted				
	define the extent of contamination. The 60-foot	\sim			
	boring was necessary to	nim to complete the site assessment and commence subsequent remediation. Because, Mr. Sandhu had failed to pay property taxes			
	Sandhu abandoning the site,	responded to repeated requests by Environmental Health directing	groundwater		Riverside County
,	contamination was not fully assessed. Prior to Mr.	Site. Given the cost of site assessment and remediation of hazardous	affected soil	SARWQCB	2871 University Ave.

Rich Mart 16501 Merrill Fontana San Bernardino County EAR#R02-077	Bloomington Texaco 18509 Valley Blvd. Bloomington San Bernardino County EAR#R02-076	Caliber Investment Property 3270 W. Lincoln Ave. Anaheim Orange County
B. Douglas Snyder San Bernardino County Fire Dept.	B. Douglas Snyder San Bernardino County Fire Dept.	Richard Wilson City of Anaheim Public Utilities
Unknown visible gasoline- affected soil and odors	Unknown visible diesel or gasoline affected soil	Gasoline affected soil and groundwater, MTBE detected
Owner had filed for bankruptcy and claim that they do not have the financial capability to remove the tanks and to conduct the necessary investigation and remediation of the site. Finance Company has taken over the property. The site was placed on the 2002-2003, the 2003-2004, and the 204-2005 EAR Account Fund lists. Work has not been completed.	Owner claims that they do not have the financial capability to remove the tanks and to conduct the necessary investigation and remediation of the site. There are currently liens on the property. The site was placed on the 2002-2003, the 2003-2004, AND THE 2004-2005 EAR Account Fund lists. Work has not been completed.	The site was operated as a Target brand service station, which was closed in 1996. The USTs were removed in May 1999. The results of subsequent soil and groundwater investigations indicated significantly elevated concentrations of gasoline constituents including MTBE. The present property owner claims they do not have the financial capability to continue investigation and remediation at the site. The site owner's application for UST cleanup funds from the State Board was denied because of Target's past history of non-compliance. The site owner has become recalcitrant. The site was placed on the 2002-2003, the 2003-2004, and the 2004-2005 EAR Account Fund lists. Through EAR account funding, additional assessment was conducted in 2003 - 2004 including the installation of on-site and off-site groundwater monitoring wells and Up to 45,000 ppb MTBE is present on-site and up to 11,000 ppb MTBE is present off-site
The two USTs need to be removed and nature and extent of the gasoline-affected soil needs to be assessed.	The five USTs need to be removed and nature and extent of the petroleum hydrocarbon-affected soil needs to be assessed.	Initial EAR account funding enabled the installation of multiple on and off site groundwater monitoring wells, the implementation of quarterly groundwater monitoring, the implementation of a 5-day HVDPE test, and a groundwater aquifer and soil vapor extraction remedial feasibility test at the site. Continued funding will enable development of a corrective action plan and the initiation of remedial activities at the site.
\$100,000: \$50,000 for removal of the USTs and an additional \$50,000 for initial investigation and cleanup.	\$100,000: \$50,000 for removal of the USTs and an additional \$50,000 for initial investigation and cleanup.	\$250,000

and cleanup.			affected soil.		
investigation			hudrocarbon-		
initial			petroleum		EAR#R02-081
\$50, 000 for			extent of		County
an additional	to be assessed.	owners of the property: Colby Jason and Wan Hin.	Unknown		San Bernardino
the USTs and	gasoline-affected soil needs	Work has not been completed. SBCFD has identified the new	detected.		Chino Hills
removal of	lateral extent of the	2003, the 2003-2004, and the 2004-2005 EAR Account Fund lists.	petroleum odors	County Fire Dept.	1074 Carbon Canyon
\$50,000 for	removed and vertical and	Ħ	stained and	San Bernardino	Hatton/Yoder
\$100,000:	The two USTs need to be	The service station was abandoned prior to 1993. The past	Soil visually	B. Douglas Snyder	Abandoned Station:
and cleanup		completed.			
investigation		and the 2004-2005 EAR Account Fund lists. Work has not been			EAR#R02-080
for initial		unavailable. The site was placed on the 2002-2003, the 2003-2004,	affected soil.		County
\$50,000 000		was no response to the NOVs and the current address of the RPs is	hydrocarbon-		San Bernardino
an additional	to be assessed.	ownership, and unlawful abandonment/closure of the USTs. There	petroleum		San Bernardino
the USTs and	gasoline-affected soil needs	13, 2000, for the failure to obtain permits, failure to report change in	extent of		Avenue
removal of	lateral extent of the	issued NOVs on April 13, 1998, September 13, 2000, and October	Unknown	County Fire Dept.	1087 Mt. Vernon
30,000 IOI	removed and vertical and	ownership to Ibrahim Jagnemen in December 1997. County life	stained.	San Bernardino	#9/6
\$50,000 for	Tile unce Osts need to be	IVIT. Namai Shalash acquired the property in 1995 and dansierred	Son visually	B. Douglas Snyder	Former P&IVI Station
£100 000.	The three HISTs need to be	Tund has work has not occit completed.		3	
and creamap.		Off the 2002-2003, the 2003-2004, and the 2004-2003 East Account			
and cleanin		on the 2002 2002 the 2002 2004 and the 2004 2005 EAR Account			
investigation		of the USTs. All violations remain outstanding. The site was placed			
initial		upgrade or replace the USTs, and the unlawful abandonment/closure			
\$50, 000 for		County Fire issued a NOV for failure to obtain UST permits,			EAR#R02-079
additional		settlement agreement. The owner did not reply. In November 2000,			County
and an	to be assessed.	or upgrade the USTs. In October 1999, County Fire issued a	affected soil		San Bernardino
the USTs	gasoline-affected soil needs	County Fire that they did not have the financial resources to replace	hydrocarbon-		Redlands
removal of	lateral extent of the	compliance with the UST upgrade requirements. The owner notified	petroleum	County Fire Dept.	1005 Redlands Blvd.
\$50,000 for	removed and vertical and	1998, the property owners were notified that the USTs were not in	extent of	San Bernardino	Mart
\$100,000:	The four USTs need to be	Mr. Young acquired the subject property in July 1997. In November	Unknown	B. Douglas Snyder	Bill Young's Mini
and cleanup.					
investigation					
initial	assessed.				
\$50, 000 for	groundwater needs to be				EAR#R02-068
additional	underlying shallow				County
and an	the potential impact to the	EAR Account Fund lists. Work has not been completed.			San Bernardino
the USTs	gasoline-affected soil and	Region. The site was placed on the 2003-2004 and the 2004-2005	dispensers	,	Big Bear Lake
removal of	lateral extent of the	was mistakenly included in the 2002-2003 EAR list for the Lahontan	detected under	County Fire Dept.	42191 Big Bear Blvd.
\$50,000 for	removed and vertical and	site, but has repeatedly failed to reply to SBCFD directives. This site	gasoline odors	San Bernardino	Construction
\$100,000:	The two USTs need to be	Mr. Roman was notified of the finding of soil contamination at the	Unknown	B. Douglas Snyder	Roman's

the financial capability to remove the tanks and to conduct the necessary investigation and remediation of the site. The owner has been recalcitrant to remove the tanks. The site was placed on the 2003-2004 and 2004-2005 EAR Account Fund lists. Owner claims that they do not have the financial capability to remove the tanks and to conduct the necessary investigation and the 2004-2005 EAR Account Fund lists. To be financial capability to graph to the conduct the necessary investigation and the 2004-2005 EAR Account Fund lists.	to remove the tanks and to conduct the one waste oil UST need to be removed and vertical and ove the tanks. The site was placed on the gasoline-affected soil needs to be assessed. The site was placed on the 2003-2004 and ount Fund lists. The three fuel USTs need to be removed and vertical and lateral extent of the gasoline-affected soil needs to be assessed.
be see the see	one waste oil UST need to be removed and vertical and lateral extent of the gasoline-affected soil needs to be assessed. The three fuel USTs need to be removed and vertical and lateral extent of the gasoline-affected soil needs to be assessed.